

Technical Comments

Extension of Angle-Only State-Determination to Curvilinear Coordinates

ALAN M. SCHNEIDER*

University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, Calif.

REFERENCE 1 presents a closed-form solution for finding the relative state between two space vehicles in close orbits, based on sextant measurements made from one vehicle of the angle between the other and identified stars, using a local-vertical Cartesian coordinate system, x, y, z , (down-range, crossrange, up). A simple modification extends capability to the case in which the relative state is described by curvilinear coordinates, u, v, w . These coordinates, which obey the same linearized equations of motion, provide greater accuracy, especially when the downrange separation is large.² One appends a nonlinear geometry block (equations in Ref. 3) to transform line-of-sight angles in Cartesian coordinates ($\delta \equiv \tan^{-1} z/x$ and $\sigma \equiv \tan^{-1} y/(x^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$) to their "curvilinear" counterparts in the curvilinear system ($\alpha \equiv \tan^{-1} w/u$ and $\nu \equiv \tan^{-1} v/(u^2 + w^2)^{1/2}$). The transformation requires knowledge of in-plane range $\rho \equiv (x^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$. Although neither known initially nor measured by the sextant, ρ can be obtained from the state-determination process. Historically, it was this fact, plus the relative insensitivity of the transformation equations to ρ , which suggested that a bootstrap technique might be successful. In principle, one first estimates a value for ρ , computes state based on this estimate, solves for an improved value of ρ from the state so determined, and then repeats the process. In practice, iterative computation of the non-linear geometry block (setting $\rho = 0$ in the first iteration), followed by the equations of Ref. 1 (with x, y, z, δ, α , replaced by u, v, w, α, ν) converges to the curvilinear solution. Convergence was successfully demonstrated in 245 simulations with different sets of initial conditions covering initial ranges from 50–400 nm, using a convergence criterion of .001 nm.

References

¹ Schneider, A. M., "A Linear Algorithm for Determining Relative Orbital State Using Angle Data," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Nov. 1970, Vol. 7, No. 11, pp. 1358–1360.

² Templeman, W., "New Investigations in the Field of Error Propagation," *AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics: Guidance and Control-II*, Vol. 13, edited by R. C. Langford and C. J. Mundo, Academic Press, New York, 1964, pp. 727–754.

³ Schneider, A. M., "Combining Angular Measurements, Linear Dynamics, and Curvilinear Coordinates to Determine Relative Orbital State," May 4, 1971, Dept. of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Sciences, Univ. of California at San Diego, La Jolla, Calif.

Received September 30, 1970; revision received August 13, 1971.

* Professor, Department of the Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Sciences. Associate Fellow AIAA.

Comment on "Development of a Biowaste Resistojet"

GILBERT S. BAHN*

LTV Aerospace Corporation, Hampton, Va.

A RECENT paper by Halbach and Yoshida¹ incorporates some of this writer's chemical kinetics calculations (cf. Refs. 2–5). Such calculations are necessarily imperfect, relying as much upon practical engineering knowledge as contributing to it. One must divine what reaction paths prevail, and one must keep within computer limits of program size and running time, which is particularly why the imposed limitations for one computational regime may be severely inappropriate for another. The representation in the subject paper unfortunately implies that the calculations are straightforward.

In particular, the following sentence appearing in the theoretical discussion requires reservation: "Thermal decomposition of methane can become significant at temperatures above 3000°R." (Significant carbon formation is implied.) In general, agreement with this would be expected from one's knowledge of various other experimental findings, but it is not an experimental conclusion of the subject project. Neither is it an inference from the theoretical calculations.

The cursory reference given to the formation of condensed carbon as part of the general analytical solution is explained as follows: The computer program was fixed to carry condensed-phase mole fractions through the reaction and mass balance equations, but to delete them when evaluating the gaseous moles per unit total mass. The reaction rate constants for reactions involving condensed-phase species were first estimated via collision theory as if the species were gaseous, of unit size. For example, for $C_2H + M = H + C_2^* + M$ (where C_2^* is used to designate the condensed phase), the size factor initially utilized was as for $C_2H + M = H + C_2 + M$. Then adjustment was made to account for the fact that reaction would proceed only in that portion of the total volume lying in the surface layer of molecules around a nucleus. It was reasoned that if C_2H (e.g.) and M met within a molecular diameter of the nucleus, then C_2^* could be deposited onto the nucleus; the nucleus (rather than M) would absorb most of the substantial energy release. Otherwise, the unlikely endothermic reaction yielding gaseous C_2 would be the only possibility.

For the creation of the adjustment factor, a substantial quantity of condensed carbon was assumed already prevalent in the gas phase, and a representative size for this material as particulate matter was chosen. The relative volumes of "surface" gas and total gas so defined reduced to a ratio of one-millionth, so that the reaction rate constants first estimated for such reactions were devaluated by a factor of one million. Another viewpoint, numerically identical, holds that M must itself be a nucleus, rather than simply any molecule,

Received May 28, 1971; revision received Aug. 23, 1971.

* Engineering Specialist. Associate Fellow AIAA.

with effective mole fraction of one-millionth. This makes clear that, with any sizeable "molecular weight" for the nuclei, a substantial quantity of condensed material is indeed indicated.

Rates of production of condensed carbon based upon such a premise will be valid only after a substantial quantity has already been produced. Where a trivial production is calculated even so, this calculated production must be greater, not less, than a reasonably expected amount. Thus the computational results presented in Ref. 1 do not of themselves lead to a conclusion of a significant degree of carbon formation above 3000°R.

References

¹ Halbach, C. R. and Yoshida, R. Y., "Development of a Biowaste Resistojet," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 8, No. 3, March 1971, pp. 273-277.

² Bahn, G. S., "Status Report of Effort on Engineering Selection of Reaction Rate Constants for Gaseous Chemical Species at High Temperatures, with a Review of $H + CO_2 = OH + CO$ and $CO_2 + M = O + CO + M$," WSS/CI 67-11, April 1967, Western States Section, The Combustion Inst., Pacific Palisades, Calif.

³ Bahn, G. S., "Evolution of a First-Round Reaction Kinetics Package for Pyrolysis/Combustion of a Large Aliphatic Hydrocarbon Molecule," WSS/CI 68-37, Oct. 1968, Western States Section, The Combustion Inst., Pacific Palisades, Calif.

⁴ Bahn, G. S., "A Summary of Auxiliary Activities in the Area of Finite-Kinetics Calculations," WSS/CI 68-54, Oct. 1968, Western States Section, The Combustion Inst., Pacific Palisades, Calif.

⁵ Bahn, G. S., "Calculations on Pyrolysis of Acetylene as Itself a Pyrolysis Intermediate in Combustion," WSS/CI 70-18, Oct. 1970, Western States Section, The Combustion Inst., Pacific Palisades, Calif.